#### SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, OCTOBER 21, 2003 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S118561

A093424 First Appellate District,

A093649 Division Three

KINSMAN v. UNOCAL CORPORATION

Time extended to grant or deny review

to November 26, 2003

S118865

F043226 Fifth Appellate District

CRUZ (ALFREDO H.) ON H.C.

Time extended to grant or deny review

to December 5, 2003.

S012944

PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

to December 24, 2003 to file appellant's reply brief. Extension is granted based upon counsel Geraldine S. Russell's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 12/24/2003. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S018637

PEOPLE v. HOVARTER (JACKIE RAY)

Extension of time granted

to December 15, 2003 to file respondent's brief. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General David H. Rose's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 12/15/2003. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S030402

PEOPLE v. TULLY (RICHARD C.)

Extension of time granted

to November 18, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. Extension is granted based upon counsel James Thomson's representation that he anticipates filing tht brief by 11/18/2003. After that date, no further will be granted.

\$038073 PEOPLE v. MANRIQUEZ (ABELINO)

Extension of time granted

to 12/19/2003 to file appellant's reply brief.

S044677 PEOPLE v. HORNING (DANNY RAY)

Extension of time granted

to December 9, 2003 to file respondent's brief. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Erik R. Brunkal's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 1/19/2004.

S050583 PEOPLE v. HOWARD (DEMETRIUS)

Extension of time granted

to December 26, 2003 to file appellant's opening

brief.

S052288 PEOPLE v. HAMILTON (BERNARD)

Extension of time granted

to December 15, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 90 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S056766 PEOPLE v. LEON (RICHARD)

Extension of time granted

to December 15, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is

ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S062770

#### PEOPLE v. BERGMAN (LAWRENCE E.) Extension of time granted

to December 19, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S064574

## PEOPLE v. MARTINEZ (MICHAEL M.) Extension of time granted

to December 22, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only two further extensions totaling 120 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any assisting attorney or entity of any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S066377

# PEOPLE v. ABILEZ (FRANK M.) Extension of time granted

to December 19, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 100 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Russell S. Babcock's representation that he anticipates filing that brief by 3/31/2004.

S070327

PEOPLE v. WILSON (ANDRE) Extension of time granted

to December 19, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. The court anticipates that after that date, only three further extensions totaling 180 additional days will be granted. Counsel is ordered to inform his or her assisting attorney or entity, if any, and any separate counsel of record, of this schedule, and to take all steps necessary to meet it.

S078404

PEOPLE v. BRADY (ROGER H.) Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted. Extension of time to November 18, 2003 to file appellant's opening brief. After that date, only four further extensions totaling 220 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon counsel Susan K. Marr's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 6/30/2004.

S093765

PEOPLE v. GAY (KENNETH E.) Extension of time granted

to December 23, 2003 to file appellant's reply brief.

S116750

DAVIS (STANLEY B.) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to November 17, 2003 to file the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only two further extensions totaling 60 additional days will be granted. Extension is granted based upon Deputy Attorney General Lisa J. Brault's representation that she anticipates filing that brief by 1/16/2004.

S116794

### HOLT (JOHN LEE) ON H.C. Extension of time granted

to November 13, 2003 to file the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus. After that date, only one further extension totaling 30 additional days is contemplated. Extension is granted based upon counsel Robert M. Meyers's representation that he anticipates filing that document by 12/12/2003.

S119310 S119365 S119368 S119376 MODESTO IRRIGATION DISRICT v. P.U.C. CALIFORNIA v. CPUC; PG&E MERCED v. CPUC; PG&E CITY OF CORONA v. CPUC 4 Orders each filed - extension of time granted

On application of real parties in interest Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E) and Southern California Edison (SCE), and good cause appearing, it is hereby ordered that permission is granted to file one Joint Answer to the four petitions for writ of review in S119310, S119365, S119368, and S119376, and extension of time to file is extended to and including October 31, 2003.

S118034
A095055 First Appellate District,
Division Four

PEOPLE v. ROBERTSON Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Juliana Drous is hereby appointed to represent appellant on his appeal now pending in this court. Appellant's brief on the merits shall be served and filed on or before thirty (30) days from the date of this order.

S045982

PEOPLE v. AVILA (JOHNNY, JR.) Order filed

The application of respondent for leave to file respondent's brief in excess of 280 pages is granted.

S104995

C035564 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. TONEY

Request for judicial notice granted

Respondent's request for judicial notice filed on October 1, 2002, and the amended request for judicial notice filed on October 3, 2002, are granted.

S081555

IN THE MATTER OF THE SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS FOR NONPAYMENT OF DUES Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on September 10, 1999, effective September 27, 1999, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the name of **Nancy I. Liu.** 

S118232

IN THE MATTER OF THE SUSPENSION OF ATTORNEYS FOR NONPAYMENT OF DUES Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order of suspension for nonpayment of dues filed on August 23, 2003, effective September 16, 2003, be amended nunc pro tunc to strike the names of:

Stanley Ira Berk Lawrence Edward Picone Howard W. Shelton. Bar Misc. 4186

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (2 Orders filed)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place: (LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED TO ORIGINAL ORDER)

D040175 Fourth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. KEITH RICHARD THORNTON Order filed

The time for granting review on the court's own motion is hereby extended to and including November 26, 2003. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 28.2(d).)